

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,573	02/08/2001		Etienne Regulier	017753-137	5075
7	590	10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
Norman H St	epno		WHITEMAN, BRIAN A		
Burns Doane Swerker & Mathis PO Box 1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			1635		
				DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i c	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/762,573	REGULIER ET AL.					
harreery hearth	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Brian Whiteman	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	tion. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 would require	a new search and further considera	ation.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7,11-15,19,20,24-32</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-10,16-18	<u>and 21</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)∐ approved or b)∐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' argument is moot because the amendment was not entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: This application contains claims 8-10, 16-18 and 21 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8 filed on 11/20/02. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01. Applicants have not cancelled the claims or taken other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144)...

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

STAD. Priche